

ON THE WRONSKIAN COMBINANTS OF BINARY FORMS

ABDELMALEK ABDESSELAM AND JAYDEEP CHIPALKATTI

ABSTRACT. For generic binary forms $A_1, \dots, A_r$ of order d we construct a class of combinants $C = \{\mathcal{C}_q : 0 \leq q \leq r, q \neq 1\}$, to be called the Wronskian combinants of the A_i . We show that the collection C gives a projective imbedding of the Grassmannian $G(r, S_d)$, and as a corollary, any other combinant admits a formula as an iterated transvectant in the C . Our second main result characterizes those collections of binary forms which can arise as Wronskian combinants. These collections are the ones such that an associated algebraic differential equation has the maximal number of linearly independent polynomial solutions. Along the way we deduce some identities which connect Wronskians with transvectants.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 13A50.

Keywords: binary forms, transvectant, combinant, Wronskian, Grassmannian, Plücker imbedding, algebraic differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article extends some of the investigations in [2] to the case of several binary forms. We begin by recalling the classical notion of a *combinant* of binary forms (see [7, §250]). A summary of our results will appear in §1.6 below after the required notation is available.

1.1. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r$ denote generic forms of order d in the variables $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ (assume $r \leq d$). Write

$$A_i = \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{d}{j} a_{ij} x_1^{d-j} x_2^j, \quad (1 \leq i \leq r), \quad (1)$$

where the a_{ij} are independent indeterminates. Given a matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$, make substitutions

$$x_1 = \alpha x'_1 + \beta x'_2, \quad x_2 = \gamma x'_1 + \delta x'_2;$$

and now define a'_{ij} by forcing the equalities

$$\sum_{j=0}^d \binom{d}{j} a_{ij} x_1^{d-j} x_2^j = \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{d}{j} a'_{ij} x_1'^{d-j} x_2'^j.$$

A polynomial function $Q(\{a_{ij}\}; x_1, x_2)$ is called a *joint covariant* of the $\{A_i\}$ if

$$Q(\{a_{ij}\}; x_1, x_2) = Q(\{a'_{ij}\}; x'_1, x'_2),$$

for every g .

It is called a *combinant* if the following additional condition is satisfied: given a matrix $M \in SL_r$, define new constants b_{ij} via the matrix equality $\mathcal{B} = M \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{B} = [b_{ij}]$, $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$. Then we should have an equality

$$Q(\{a_{ij}\}; x_1, x_2) = Q(\{b_{ij}\}; x_1, x_2),$$

for every M . We say that Q is of degree m and order n , if it has total degree m in the coefficients of each A_i and total degree n in $\mathbf{x}$. By the first fundamental theorem, the coefficients of Q can be written as degree m forms in the $r \times r$ minors of the matrix $[a_{ij}]$.

For instance, for $r = 2$ the resultant $R(A_1, A_2)$ is a combinant of degree d and order zero. The Jacobian $\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x_2} \end{vmatrix}$ is a combinant of degree one and order $2d - 2$.

For fixed (r, d) , the combinants define a ring R bigraded by m and n . The structure of this ring can be very involved, and it is concretely known only for a few small values of r and d (see e.g. [6, 11]). Our objective, roughly speaking, is to construct distinguished elements of this ring $C_0, C_2, \dots, C_r$ (sic) which generate it (in a slightly extended sense, to be made precise later).

1.2. Preliminaries. Throughout, the base field will be $\mathbf{C}$. We will write S_d for the space of order d forms in $\mathbf{x}$, which is naturally a representation of SL_2 . See [3, Ch. 11] or [14, Ch. 4] for standard facts about SL_2 -representations. All of our constructions (and morphisms) will be SL_2 -equivariant. Each finite-dimensional SL_2 -module is canonically self-dual, we will use this identification without further comment.

Our basic reference for invariant theory is [7]; much of the same material is covered in [4]. We will freely use the classical symbolic calculus interpreted *according to* [1, §2]. Other treatments of this calculus, which we will *not* use, can be found in [10, 12].

If E, F are two binary forms of orders e, f , their k -th transvectant is defined as

$$(E, F)_k = \frac{(e-k)!(f-k)!}{e!f!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \frac{\partial^k E}{\partial x_1^{k-i} \partial x_2^i} \frac{\partial^k F}{\partial x_1^i \partial x_2^{k-i}}. \quad (2)$$

It is identically zero outside the range $0 \leq k \leq \min\{e, f\}$.

1.3. Let $G = G(r, S_d)$ denote the Grassmann variety of r -dimensional subspaces of S_d . Let λ_m denote the partition $(\underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{r \text{ times}})$, and S_{λ_m} the associated Schur functor (see [3, Ch. 6]). By the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem (see [13, p. 687]) we have an isomorphism of SL_2 -representations

$$H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(m)) \simeq S_{\lambda_m}(S_d).$$

An element of $S_{\lambda_m}(S_d)$ can be seen as a degree m function in the Plücker coordinates via the imbedding

$$S_{\lambda_m}(S_d) \subseteq S_m(\wedge^r S_d).$$

Then, a combinant Q of degree m and order n can be identified (up to a scalar) with a morphism

$$\mu : S_n \longrightarrow H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(m))$$

which sends $F \in S_n$ to the transvectant $(F, Q)_n$. In the reverse direction, μ gives rise to a morphism

$$\mu' : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(m)) \otimes S_n,$$

and then Q can be recovered (up to scalar) as the element $\mu'(1)$.

Hence combinants of degree-order (m, n) (up to scalars) are in bijection with nonzero (and hence necessarily injective) morphisms $S_n \longrightarrow S_{\lambda_m}(S_d)$. In particular all the linear (i.e., degree one) combinants correspond to the irreducible summands of

$$\wedge^r S_d \simeq S_r(S_{d-r+1}).$$

Example 1.1. For $r = 2$ and $m = 1$, we have

$$S_2(S_{d-1}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} S_{2d-2(2i-1)}.$$

The i -th summand corresponds to the combinant $(A_1, A_2)_{2i-1}$.

Example 1.2. Assume $(r, d) = (2, 5), m = 2$. We have a plethysm decomposition

$$S_{\lambda_2}(S_5) = S_{(2,2)}(S_5) = S_{16} \oplus S_{12}^2 \oplus S_{10} \oplus S_8^3 \oplus S_6 \oplus S_4^3 \oplus S_0^2.$$

(This was calculated using the Maple package ‘SF’.) This implies for instance, that two binary quintics A_1, A_2 have a two dimensional space of combinants of degree 2 and order 12. Writing $t_i = (A_1, A_2)_i$, a basis for this space is given by $(t_1, t_1)_2$ and $t_1 t_3$.

Since the algebra $\bigoplus_m S_{\lambda_m}(S_d)$ is generated in degree one, every combinant can be written as an iterated transvectant expression in linear combinants.

1.4. Wronskians. Given binary forms $F_1, \dots, F_s$ of order n , we define their Wronskian

$$W(F_1, \dots, F_s) = \left(\frac{(n-s+1)!}{n!} \right)^s \times \det \left(\frac{\partial^{s-1} F_i}{\partial x_1^{s-j} \partial x_2^{j-1}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}.$$

It is zero iff the F_i are linearly dependent over $\mathbf{C}$. Using the classical symbolic calculus *according to* [1, §2], if $F_i = f_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)n}$ then

$$W = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} (f^{(i)} f^{(j)}) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} f_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)n-s+1}.$$

(The proof is easy: differentiate the symbolic expressions, and calculate the Vandermonde determinant.)

1.5. Polarization. Introduce new letters $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a form of order n in $\mathbf{x}$, then define its k -th polarization

$$\mathcal{E}^{(k)} = \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} (y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})^k \mathcal{E}.$$

1.6. A summary of results. In this paper we will construct a set of linear combinants $C = \{C_0, C_2, \dots, C_r\}$ associated with a set of r binary d -ics $A_1, \dots, A_r$. In fact C_0 is the Wronskian of the $\{A_i\}$; the others are defined as transvectants of certain symbolic products derived from $\{A_i\}$. By construction C_q is of order $r(d-r+1) - 2q$. We will show that the C enjoy a cluster of special properties:

- The subspace spanned by the $\{A_i\}$ can be recovered from C as the solution space of the differential equation $\sum_q (C_q, F)_{r-q} = 0$.

- The assignment $\text{span}\{A_i\} \longrightarrow [C_0, \dots, C_r]$ gives a projective imbedding of the Grassmannian $G(r, S_d)$.
- Every combinant Q admits a formula of the type

$$Q = \frac{1}{C_0^N} \times (\text{A compound transvectant expression in the } C),$$

for some nonnegative integer N .

In Theorem 4.1 we characterize all possible values of C . Specifically we prove that a sequence of binary forms $E_0, E_2, \dots, E_r$ (of the correct orders) can arise as the Wronskian combinants of an r -dimensional subspace iff the differential equation

$$\sum_q (E_q, F)_{r-q} = 0 \tag{3}$$

admits r linearly independent polynomial solutions. It follows that the image of the imbedding above is a determinantal variety, defined by equations of degree $d-r+2$. The proof proceeds in two steps: firstly we establish some identities which connect Wronskians with transvectants. Then we use these identities to ‘peel off’ one summand at a time from equation (3).

2. THE WRONSKIAN COMBINANTS

Let us write $\mathcal{N}_r = \{q : 0 \leq q \leq r, q \neq 1\}$. In this section we will construct the Wronskian combinants $\mathcal{C} = \{C_q : q \in \mathcal{N}_r\}$. It is a special instance of the method used by Gordan in order to show that any covariant of a binary form is a linear combination of iterated transvectants (see [5, §2]).

2.1. Let

$$A_i = \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

denote r binary d -ics, and $F = f_{\mathbf{x}}^d$ another binary d -ic. Then

$$W = W(A_1, \dots, A_r, F) = f_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r} \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d-r} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\alpha^{(i)} \alpha^{(j)}) \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha^{(i)} f).$$

We will rewrite this expression as follows. Define

$$\Phi = \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d-r} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\alpha^{(i)} \alpha^{(j)}) \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{\mathbf{y}}^{(i)}.$$

Then

$$W = (\Phi, f_{\mathbf{y}}^d)_r^{\mathbf{y}} \Big|_{\mathbf{y}:=\mathbf{x}}, \quad (4)$$

which is to say, take the r -th transvectant of the pair $\Phi, f_{\mathbf{y}}^d$ as forms in $\mathbf{y}$ (treating the $\mathbf{x}$ as constants), and then substitute $\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{y}$.

If we write $G_{\mathbf{x}} = \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}$, then

$$\Phi = \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\alpha^{(i)} \alpha^{(j)}) \right\} G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r} G_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Now the Gordan series (see [7, §52]) gives an identity

$$G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r} G_{\mathbf{y}} = \sum_{q=0}^r \frac{\binom{rd-r^2}{q} \binom{r}{q}}{\binom{rd-r^2+r-q+1}{q}} [(G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r}, G_{\mathbf{x}})_q]^{(r-q)} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})^q.$$

Hence let us define

$$C_q = (-1)^q \frac{\binom{rd-r^2}{q} \binom{r}{q}}{\binom{rd-r^2+r-q+1}{q}} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\alpha^{(i)} \alpha^{(j)}) \right\} (G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r}, G_{\mathbf{x}})_q. \quad (5)$$

By construction this is a combinant which is linear in the coefficients of each A_i , and of order $r(d-r+1) - 2q$. Notice that C_1 is identically zero, because it is the Jacobian of two functionally dependent forms (and hence it will not be mentioned any further). Since $G_{\mathbf{x}}$ is of order r , the index q ranges over $\mathcal{N}_r$.

2.2. Thus we have

$$\Phi = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} (-1)^q [C_q]^{(r-q)} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})^q.$$

We will calculate W by substituting this expression into (4). Write $C_q = c_{\mathbf{x}}^{r(d-r+1)-2q}$. Then

$$[C_q]^{(r-q)} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})^q = c_{\mathbf{x}}^{r(d-r)-q} c_{\mathbf{y}}^{r-q} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})^q,$$

and

$$([C_q]^{(r-q)} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y})^q, f_{\mathbf{y}}^d)_r^{\mathbf{y}} = (-1)^q c_{\mathbf{x}}^{r(d-r)-q} (c f)^{r-q} f_{\mathbf{x}}^q f_{\mathbf{y}}^{d-r}.$$

Letting $\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x}$, this reduces to

$$(-1)^q c_{\mathbf{x}}^{r(d-r)-q} (c f)^{r-q} f_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-r+q} = (-1)^q (C_q, F)_{r-q}.$$

In sum,

$$W = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} (C_q, F)_{r-q}. \quad (6)$$

2.3. Given an arbitrary collection of forms $E = \{E_q : q \in \mathcal{N}_r\}$ of orders $r(d - r + 1) - 2q$, we define

$$\psi_E(F) = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} (E_q, F)_{r-q}.$$

Then $\psi_E(F) = 0$ is an algebraic differential equation of order r dependent on the parameters E .

Let $C = \{C_q\}$ be the combinants constructed above associated with $\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$. If the $\{A_i\}$ are linearly dependent, then (and only then) all C_q are zero. If they are independent, then a binary d -ic F belongs to their linear span iff $W(A_1, \dots, A_r, F) = 0$, i.e., iff $\psi_C(F) = 0$. Hence the C completely characterize the subspace spanned by the A .

Example 2.1. Assume $r = 2$, then $C_0 = (A_1, A_2)_1$. Since $G_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a quadratic,

$$(G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-2}, G_{\mathbf{x}})_2 = \frac{2-d}{2(2d-5)} (G_{\mathbf{x}}, G_{\mathbf{x}})_2 G_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-3}.$$

(This can be checked by a direct symbolic calculation.) Hence

$$C_2 = \frac{\binom{2d-4}{2}}{\binom{2d-3}{2}} \frac{2-d}{2(2d-5)} (\alpha^{(1)} \alpha^{(2)})^3 \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)d-3} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(2)d-3} = \frac{2-d}{4d-6} (A_1, A_2)_3.$$

3. THE INCOMPLETE PLÜCKER IMBEDDING

Let

$$U = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} S_{r(d-r+1)-2q},$$

and consider the morphism

$$\pi : G(r, S_d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}U$$

which sends the subspace $\Lambda = \text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$ to $[C_0, C_2, \dots, C_r]$.

Theorem 3.1. *The morphism π is an imbedding.*

PROOF. Since Λ can be recovered from C , we deduce that π is set-theoretically injective. It remains to show that π is injective on tangent spaces ([8, Ch. II, Prop. 7.3]).

The tangent space $T_{G, \Lambda}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, S_d/\Lambda)$. Assume that $v \in T_{G, \Lambda}$ sends A_i to $B_i + \Lambda$.

The tangent space to $\mathbb{P}U$ at $\pi(v)$ is isomorphic to $U/[C_0, \dots, C_q]$. To calculate the image vector $d\pi(v)$, define

$$D_q = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{C_q(A_1 + \epsilon B_1, \dots, A_r + \epsilon B_r) - C_q(A_1, \dots, A_r)}{\epsilon}.$$

Then $d\pi(v) = [D_0, D_2, \dots, D_q]$, considered modulo $[C_0, \dots, C_q]$. The Wronskian combinants are multilinear in each argument, hence

$$D_q = \sum_{i=1}^r C_q(A_1, \dots, \hat{A}_i | B_i, \dots, A_r),$$

where the last expression means that A_i is to be replaced by B_i . Assume that $d\pi(v) = 0$, so there exists a constant α such that $D_q = \alpha C_q$ for all q . But then $\sum_q (D_q, A_1)_{r-q} = 0$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ \sum_q (C_q(A_1, \dots, \hat{A}_i | B_i, \dots, A_r), A_1)_{r-q} \right\} = 0.$$

All the summands except $i = 1$ vanish for obvious reasons, hence so does the remaining one. This implies that $A_1 \in \text{Span}\{B_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$, which forces $B_1 \in \text{Span}\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$. Similarly each $B_i \in \Lambda$, hence v must be the zero vector. This shows that π was injective on tangent spaces. The theorem is proved. $\square$

3.1. Let Q be an arbitrary combinant of r binary d -ics. We will show that Q admits a ‘formula’ as mentioned in the introduction. In order to make this precise, assume A_i to be as in equation (1). Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the smallest $\mathbf{C}$ -subalgebra of the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{C}[\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j}, x_1, x_2]$ such that

- $C_0, \dots, C_q \in \mathbb{T}$, and
- if $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, then $(e_1, e_2)_k \in \mathbb{T}$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Each element of $\mathbb{T}$ is a combinant, and there is a natural bigraded decomposition of $\mathbb{T}$ induced by the degree m and order n . For instance, the element

$$((C_0, C_3)_3, C_2)_2 + 5(C_0^2, C_4)_6$$

is bihomogeneous of degree 3 and order $3r(d-r+1) - 20$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Q be a combinant of the $\{A_i\}$. Then there exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that $C_0^N Q \in \mathbb{T}$.*

Since C_0 is always nonzero on linearly independent forms, this shows the existence of a formula for Q .

PROOF. Given the imbedding $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}U$, for every integer $m \geq 0$ we have the restriction morphism

$$f_m : \underbrace{H^0(\mathbb{P}U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m))}_{= S_m(U)} \longrightarrow H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(m)).$$

Let $m = N + \deg Q$. The combinant $C_0^N Q$ will lie in $\mathbb{T}$ iff the image of the corresponding morphism (see §1.3)

$$S_{\text{ord}(C_0^N Q)} \longrightarrow H^0(G, \mathcal{O}_G(m))$$

is contained in the image of f_m . But this can always be arranged by choosing $N \gg 0$, since f_m is surjective for $m \gg 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. If ρ is the Castelnuovo regularity of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_G$, then $H^1(\mathbb{P}U, \mathcal{I}_G(\rho - 1)) = 0$ implying that $f_{\rho-1}$ is surjective. Hence N can be chosen to be $\max\{0, \rho - \deg Q - 1\}$. It is possible (but rather tedious) to calculate an explicit upper bound for ρ from the Hilbert polynomial of G (see [9]), but we have not attempted this.

Example 3.4. Assume $(r, d) = (2, 5)$, and write $t_i = (A_1, A_2)_i$. Then the element $C_0 t_5$ lies in $\mathbb{T}$, in fact there is an identity

$$t_5 = \frac{1}{C_0} [50 C_2^2 - 15 (C_0, C_0)_4 - 40 (C_0, C_2)_2].$$

PROOF SKETCH: $C_0 t_5$ is of degree-order $(2, 8)$. The plethysm $S_{(2,2)}(S_5)$ contains 3 copies of S_8 , hence there is a 3 dimensional space of such combinants. By specialising A_1, A_2 we can show that $C_2^2, (C_0, C_0)_4, (C_0, C_2)_2$ are linearly independent, hence they form a basis of this space. Thus $C_0 t_5$ must be expressible as their linear combination. To find the actual coefficients we only need to solve a system of linear equations.

4. WRONSKIAN AND TRANSVECTANTS

We now come to our second main theorem which characterizes all possible values of C .

4.1. Let $E = \{E_q : q \in \mathcal{N}_r\}$ be an arbitrary collection of binary forms of orders $r(d - r + 1) - 2q$, such that $E_1 \neq 0$. In general the r -th order differential equation

$$\psi_E(F) = 0 \tag{7}$$

does not admit r linearly independent polynomial solutions. Our result says that if indeed it does, then the E must be values of Wronskian combinants.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that there exist r linearly independent d -ics $A_1, \dots, A_r$ such that $\psi_E(A_i) = 0$. Then there exists a nonzero constant k such that*

$$E_q = k C_q(A_1, \dots, A_r)$$

for all $q \in \mathcal{N}_r$.

Then, of course, we can arrange that $E_q = C_q$ by replacing A_1 with $k A_1$.

4.2. The proof hinges upon certain identities involving transvectants and Wronskians. Let B denote a form of order n . For $0 \leq p \leq \min\{d, n\}$, define

$$\Gamma_p(B; A_1, \dots, A_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} (B, A_i)_p W(A_1, \dots, \widehat{A_i}, \dots, A_r).$$

We will tentatively abbreviate this to Γ_p . Now the key result is the following.

Proposition 4.2. *We have identities*

$$\Gamma_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq p \leq r-2, \\ (-1)^{r-1} B W(A_1, \dots, A_r) & \text{for } p = r-1, \\ (-1)^{r-1} r (B, W(A_1, \dots, A_r))_1 & \text{for } p = r. \end{cases}$$

We have found no such simple identities for $p > r$. The proposition will be proved in §5; meanwhile let us use it to prove the theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. By hypothesis

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} (E_q, A_i)_{r-q} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Multiply this equation by $(-1)^{i+1} W(A_1, \dots, \widehat{A_i}, \dots, A_r)$ and sum over $1 \leq i \leq r$. This gives

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{N}_r} \Gamma_{r-q}(E_q; A_1, \dots, A_r) = 0.$$

By the proposition, we have $\Gamma_{r-q} = 0$ for $r - q \leq r - 2$, i.e., for $q \geq 2$. *Fortunately* there is no $q = 1$ term, hence

$$\Gamma_r(E_0; A_1, \dots, A_r) = (E_0, W(A_1, \dots, A_r))_1 = 0.$$

In general, if M, N are forms of the same order, then $(M, N)_1 = W(M, N)$; which can be zero only if M, N are multiples of each other. Hence there exists a constant k such that

$$E_0 = k W(A_1, \dots, A_r) = k C_0.$$

Now write $\tilde{E}_q = E_q - k C_q$. Subtract the equation $k \psi_C(A_i) = 0$ from (8), this gives

$$\sum_{q=2}^r (\tilde{E}_q, A_i)_{r-q} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Multiply (9) by $(-1)^{i+1} W(A_1, \dots, \widehat{A_i}, \dots, A_{r-1})$, (note that A_r is missing), and sum over $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Then we have

$$\sum_{q=2}^r \Gamma_{r-q}(\tilde{E}_q; A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}) = 0.$$

By the proposition, all the summands for $r - q \leq r - 3$, (i.e., $q \geq 3$) are zero. Hence

$$\Gamma_{r-2}(\tilde{E}_2; A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}) = (-1)^{r-2} \tilde{E}_2 W(A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}) = 0.$$

Since the A_i are linearly independent, the Wronskian on the right is nonzero, hence $\tilde{E}_2 = 0$. We can now repeat this procedure by dropping A_{r-1}, A_{r-2} etc.; this will successively force $\tilde{E}_3, \tilde{E}_4$ etc. to be zero. $\square$

4.3. The assignment $F \longrightarrow \psi_E(F)$ gives a morphism

$$\psi : S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}U}(-1) \longrightarrow S_{d+r(d-r-1)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}U}.$$

The theorem implies that $\pi(G)$ is equal to the locus $\{\text{rank } \psi \leq d-r+1\}$. Consequently $\pi(G)$ is set-theoretically defined by equations of degree $d - r + 2$.

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2.

5.1. Let us write $B = \beta_{\mathbf{x}}^n$. Then Γ_p has the symbolic expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} \{ (\beta \alpha^{(i)})^p \beta_{\mathbf{x}}^{n-p} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d-p} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq r \\ j, k \neq i}} (\alpha^{(j)} \alpha^{(k)}) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)d-r+2} \}.$$

Now dehomogenize using the following substitutions:

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (b, 1), \quad (\alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}) = (a_i, 1), \quad (x_1, x_2) = (1, -u).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_p &= \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} \{ (b - a_i)^p (b - u)^{n-p} (a_i - u)^{d-p} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq r \\ j, k \neq i}} (a_j - a_k) \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} (a_j - u)^{d-r+2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as $(b - u)^{n-p} \times \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \times$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ (-1)^{i+1} (b - a_i)^p (a_i - u)^{r-p-2} \times \begin{vmatrix} a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{i-1}^{r-2} & \cdots & a_{i-1} & 1 \\ a_{i+1}^{r-2} & \cdots & a_{i+1} & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix} \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma_p = (b - u)^{n-p} \times \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \times \begin{vmatrix} Q(a_1) & a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q(a_r) & a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

with $Q(a) = (b - a)^p (a - u)^{r-p-2}$. (To see this, expand the last determinant by its first column.)

If $p \leq r - 2$, then $Q(a)$ is a polynomial in a of degree $r - 2$, hence the first column is a linear combination of the others. This forces $\Gamma_p = 0$, which is the first part of the proposition. $\square$

5.2. Now let $p = r - 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(a) &= \frac{(b - a)^{r-1}}{a - u} = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{[(a - u) + (u - b)]^{r-1}}{a - u} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} (a - u)^{j-1} (u - b)^{r-1-j} \end{aligned}$$

By the previous argument on columns, we only need the $j = 0$ term to calculate the determinant. Hence Γ_{r-1} is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (b-u)^{n-r+1}(u-b)^{r-1} \times \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1-u} & a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{a_r-u} & a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -(b-u)^n \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{u-a_1} & a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{u-a_r} & a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The last determinant can be written as

$$\sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{u^{s+1}} \begin{vmatrix} a_1^s & a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_r^s & a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let us write $\Delta_r(a)$ for $\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (a_j - a_k)$. Using the Schur polynomials $S_\lambda(a)$ (see [3, Appendix 1]), we rewrite Γ_{r-1} as

$$\begin{aligned} & -(b-u)^n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \right\} \times \Delta_r(a) \sum_{s \geq r-1} \frac{1}{u^{s+1}} S_{(s-r+1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r) \\ &= -(b-u)^n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \right\} \times \Delta_r(a) \times \frac{1}{u^r} \times \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_i}{u}} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} (b-u)^n \Delta_r(a) \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Rehomogenizing this, we get

$$\Gamma_{r-1} = (-1)^{r-1} \beta_{\mathbf{x}}^n \times \prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d-r+1} \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\alpha^{(j)} \alpha^{(k)}),$$

which proves the second part.

5.3. Finally let $p = r$. Then $Q(a)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(b-a)^r}{(a-u)^2} = (-1)^r \frac{[(u-b) + (a-u)]^r}{(a-u)^2} \\ &= (-1)^r \left[\frac{(u-b)^r}{(a-u)^2} + \frac{r(u-b)^{r-1}}{a-u} + \text{irrelevant terms} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute this into (10). The positive powers of $(a-u)$ contribute nothing to the sum, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r &= (-1)^r (b-u)^{n-r} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left[(u-b)^r D_2 + r(u-b)^{r-1} D_1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_\nu = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_1-u)^\nu} & a_1^{r-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(a_r-u)^\nu} & a_r^{r-2} & \cdots & a_r & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

As in the previous case,

$$D_1 = -\frac{\Delta_r(a)}{u^r} \times \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_i}{u}} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1} \Delta_r(a)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)},$$

whereas

$$D_2 = \frac{\partial D_1}{\partial u} = D_1 \times \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{a_i - u}.$$

Putting everything together, Γ_r equals

$$\begin{aligned} &(b-u)^n \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+2} \right\} \times \left[D_2 + \frac{r D_1}{u-b} \right] \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} (b-u)^n \Delta_r(a) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+1} \right\} \times \sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ \frac{1}{a_i - u} + \frac{r}{u-b} \right\} \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} (b-u)^{n-1} \Delta_r(a) \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i - u)^{d-r+1} \right\} \times \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{b-a_i}{a_i - u}. \end{aligned}$$

Homogenizing,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r &= (-1)^{r-1} \beta_{\mathbf{x}}^{n-1} \times \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\alpha^{(j)} \alpha^{(k)}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^r \left((\beta \alpha^{(i)}) \times \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)d-r} \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ j \neq i}} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)d-r+1} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{r-1} r (B, W(A_1, \dots, A_r))_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have used the general formula for transvectants of symbolic products (see [4, §3.2.5]). The proposition is proved; this also completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

The following question arises naturally: given a reductive group G and a G -module V , investigate how much of the theory carries over to the Grassmannian $G(r, V)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first author is grateful to D. Brydges and J. Feldman for their invitation to the University of British Columbia. The second author is grateful for the financial support by NSERC. The ‘SF’ (Symmetric Functions) package for Maple has been useful, and we are grateful to its author John Stembridge. The following electronic libraries have been useful in accessing classical references:

- The Göttinger DigitalisierungsZentrum (**GDZ**)
- Project Gutenberg (**PG**)
- The University of Michigan Historical Mathematics Collection (**UM**)

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdesselam and J. Chipalkatti. The bipartite Brill-Gordan locus and angular momentum. preprint math.AG/0502542, 2005.
- [2] J. Chipalkatti. On the invariant theory of the Bezoutiant. preprint math.AG/0406410, 2004.
- [3] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory, A First Course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [4] O. Glenn. *The Theory of Invariants*. Ginn and Co. , Boston, 1915 (**PG**).
- [5] P. Gordan. Beweis, dass jede Covariante und Invariante einer binären Form eine ganze Function mit numerischen Coefficienten einer endlichen Anzahl solcher Formen ist. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 69, pp. 323–354, 1868 (**GDZ**).
- [6] P. Gordan. Ueber combinanten. *Math. Ann.*, vol. 5, pp. 95–122, 1872 (**GDZ**).
- [7] J. H. Grace and A. Young. *The Algebra of Invariants, 1903*. Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1962 (**UM**).
- [8] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [9] S. Kleiman. Les théorèmes de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard. In *SGA 6, Expose XIII*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 225, 1970.
- [10] J.P.S. Kung and G.-C. Rota. The invariant theory of binary forms. *Bulletin of the A.M.S.*, vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 27–85, 1984.
- [11] M. Meulien. Sur la complication des algèbres d’invariants combinants. *J. of Algebra*, vol. 284, No. 1, pp. 284–295, 2005.
- [12] P. Olver. *Classical Invariant Theory*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

- [13] O. Porras. Rank varieties and their resolutions. *J. of Algebra*, vol. 186, No. 3, pp. 677–723, 1996.
 - [14] B. Sturmfels. *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer–Verlag, Wien New York, 1993.
-

ABDELMALEK ABDESSELAM
Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia
1984 Mathematics Road
Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2
Canada.
`abdessel@math.ubc.ca`

LAGA, Institut Galilée
CNRS UMR 7539
Université Paris XIII
99 Avenue J.B. Clément
F93430 Villetaneuse
France.

JAYDEEP CHIPALKATTI
Department of Mathematics
University of Manitoba
433 Machray Hall
Winnipeg MB R3T 2N2
Canada.
`chipalka@cc.umanitoba.ca`